



**Legislative Assembly  
Province of Alberta**

No. 112

## **VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS**

Second Session

Thirtieth Legislature

---

Wednesday, June 9, 2021

The Speaker took the Chair at 9:00 a.m.

### **Government Bills and Orders**

#### **Second Reading**

On the motion that the following Bill be now read a Second time:

Bill 70 COVID-19 Related Measures Act — Mr. Gotfried

A debate followed.

Hon. Mrs. Savage moved adjournment of the debate, which was agreed to.

#### **Third Reading**

On the motion that the following Bill be now read a Third time:

Bill 62 Red Tape Reduction Implementation Act, 2021 — Hon. Mr. Hunter

A debate followed.

Ms Sweet, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning, moved that the motion be amended by deleting all of the words after “that” and substituting the following:

Bill 62, Red Tape Reduction Implementation Act, 2021, be not now read a Third time but that it be recommitted to the Committee of the Whole for the purpose of reconsideration of section 1.

Sessional Paper 755/2020-21

A debate followed on the amendment.

Debate adjourned on the amendment.

The following Bill was read a Third time and passed:

Bill 65 Health Statutes Amendment Act, 2021 — Hon. Mr. Shandro

## **Adjournment**

Pursuant to Standing Order 4(2.1), the Assembly adjourned at 12:00 p.m. until 1:30 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 9, 2021 — 1:30 P.M.

## **ROUTINE**

### **Members' Statements**

Ms Fir, Hon. Member for Calgary-Peigan, made a statement regarding Pride Month.

Ms Ganley, Hon. Member for Calgary-Mountain View, made a statement regarding the Government's job-creation strategy.

Ms Issik, Hon. Member for Calgary-Glenmore, made a statement regarding COVID-19 vaccination rates in Alberta's youth population.

Mr. Bilous, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Beverly-Clareview, made a statement regarding the Government's cancellation of the Alberta Investor Tax Credit program.

Ms Armstrong-Homeniuk, Hon. Member for Fort Saskatchewan-Vegreville, made a statement regarding Canadian Men's Mental Health Month.

Mr. Sabir, Hon. Member for Calgary-McCall, made a statement regarding the need for the Government to take action to prevent hate crimes.

Mr. Yaseen, Hon. Member for Calgary-North, made a statement regarding Seniors' Week.

Mr. Carson, Hon. Member for Edmonton-West Henday, made a statement recognizing his mother, Kimberley Carson, and the value of \$25-a-day child care.

Mr. van Dijken, Hon. Member for Athabasca-Barrhead-Westlock, made a statement regarding Farmer's Day.

## **Tabling Returns and Reports**

Ms Hoffman, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Glenora:

Document, undated, entitled “UCP Report Card: Progress Report from Alberta Voters” giving letter grades to Hon. Min. LaGrange, Minister of Education; Hon. Mr. Nixon, Minister of Environment and Parks; Hon. Mr. Shandro, Minister of Health; and Hon. Mr. Kenney, Premier, President of Executive Council, and Minister of Intergovernmental Relations

Sessional Paper 756/2020-21

Mr. Bilous, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Beverly-Clareview:

Report, undated, entitled “Calgary Tech Report: Q4 2020 and long term trends,” prepared by Hockeystick and Calgary Economic Development

Sessional Paper 757/2020-21

BetaKit website article dated April 28, 2021, entitled “Large deals fuelled Calgary’s healthy 2020, but western Canada could have an early-stage funding problem”

Sessional Paper 758/2020-21

## **ORDERS OF THE DAY**

### **Government Bills and Orders**

#### **Committee of the Whole**

According to Order, the Assembly resolved itself into Committee of the Whole and the Speaker left the Chair.

(Assembly in Committee)

The following Bill was taken under consideration:

Bill 67 Skilled Trades and Apprenticeship Education Act — Hon. Mr. Nicolaides

Debate continued on the amendment introduced by the Hon. Member for Edmonton-North West on behalf of the Official Opposition House Leader on June 7, 2021, that the Bill be amended in section 13

- (a) in subsection (1) by striking out “shall appoint” and substituting “shall, in accordance with subsection (2), appoint”, and
- (b) by striking out subsection (2) and substituting the following:
  - (2) The Minister shall
    - (a) appoint the following as members of the Board:
      - (i) four individuals who represent employers of professionals in the designated trades;

- (ii) four individuals who represent employees of professionals in the designated trades;
  - (iii) two individuals who represent employers of professionals in trades other than designated trades;
  - (iv) two individuals who represent employees of professional in trades other than designated trades.
- (b) designate one member as chair and one or more other members to act in the place of the chair when the chair is absent or is otherwise unable to carry out the duties of the chair.

The question being put, the amendment was defeated. With Mrs. Pitt at the Table, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the amendment: 6

|      |        |         |
|------|--------|---------|
| Ceci | Feehan | Hoffman |
| Dach | Gray   | Loyola  |

Against the amendment: 32

|                    |                       |            |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Aheer              | Lovely                | Singh*     |
| Amery              | Nally                 | Smith      |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Neudorf               | Stephan*   |
| Copping            | Nicolaides            | Toews      |
| Ellis              | Nixon (Calgary-Klein) | Toor       |
| Fir                | Panda                 | Turton*    |
| Hanson             | Rowswell*             | van Dijken |
| Horner             | Rutherford            | Walker*    |
| Issik              | Savage                | Williams*  |
| Jones              | Schulz                | Yaseen     |
| Long               | Sigurdson (Highwood)  |            |

*\*Member voted remotely*

The question was put on the clauses of Bill 67, Skilled Trades and Apprenticeship Education Act, which was agreed to. With Mrs. Pitt at the Table, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the motion: 36

|                    |                                        |                      |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Long                                   | Schulz               |
| Amery              | Lovely                                 | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Madu                                   | Singh*               |
| Copping            | Nally                                  | Smith                |
| Dreeshen           | Neudorf                                | Stephan*             |
| Ellis              | Nicolaides                             | Toews                |
| Fir                | Nixon (Calgary-Klein)                  | Toor                 |
| Gotfried*          | Nixon (Rimbey-Rocky Mtn. House-Sundre) | Turton*              |
| Hanson             | Panda                                  | van Dijken           |
| Horner             | Rowswell*                              | Walker*              |
| Issik              | Rutherford                             | Williams             |
| Jones              | Savage                                 | Yaseen               |

Against the motion: 7

|        |        |         |
|--------|--------|---------|
| Ceci   | Ganley | Hoffman |
| Dach   | Gray   | Loyola  |
| Feehan |        |         |

*\*Member voted remotely*

And after some time spent therein, the Speaker resumed the Chair.

The following Bill was reported:

Bill 67 Skilled Trades and Apprenticeship Education Act — Hon. Mr. Nicolaides

Mrs. Pitt, Chair of Committees, tabled copies of an amendment considered by Committee of the Whole on this date for the official records of the Assembly.

Amendment to Bill 67 (A1) (introduced by the Hon. Member for Edmonton-North West on behalf of the Official Opposition House Leader on June 7, 2021) — Defeated on division

Sessional Paper 749/2020-21

### **Third Reading**

On the motion that the following Bill be now read a Third time:

Bill 51 Citizen Initiative Act — Hon. Mr. Madu

A debate followed.

The question being put, the motion was agreed to. With Hon. Mr. Cooper in the Chair, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the motion: 36

|                    |                                        |                      |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Lovely                                 | Schulz               |
| Amery              | Madu                                   | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Nally                                  | Singh*               |
| Dreeshen           | Neudorf                                | Smith                |
| Ellis              | Nicolaides                             | Stephan*             |
| Fir                | Nixon (Calgary-Klein)                  | Toews                |
| Hanson             | Nixon (Rimbey-Rocky Mtn. House-Sundre) | Toor                 |
| Horner             | Orr*                                   | Turton*              |
| Issik              | Panda                                  | van Dijken           |
| Jones              | Rowswell*                              | Walker*              |
| Kenney             | Rutherford                             | Williams             |
| Long               | Savage                                 | Yaseen               |

Against the motion: 7

|        |        |         |
|--------|--------|---------|
| Ceci   | Ganley | Hoffman |
| Dach   | Gray   | Loyola  |
| Feehan |        |         |

*\*Member voted remotely*

The following Bill was read a Third time and passed:

Bill 51 Citizen Initiative Act — Hon. Mr. Madu

## **Adjournment**

The Assembly adjourned at 6:00 p.m. until 7:30 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 9, 2021 — 7:30 P.M.

## **Government Bills and Orders**

### **Committee of the Whole**

According to Order, the Assembly resolved itself into Committee of the Whole and the Deputy Speaker left the Chair.

(Assembly in Committee)

The following Bill was taken under consideration:

Bill 52 Recall Act — Hon. Mr. Madu

Mr. Loewen, Hon. Member for Central Peace-Notley, moved that the Bill be amended as follows:

- (a) in section 1(1)
  - (i) in clause (c), by striking out “or a recall vote”, and
  - (ii) by striking out clause (r);
- (b) in section 2(3)(b)(ii)(B) by striking out “for the purposes of sections 11(4) and 16(4), as applicable,” and substituting “for the purpose of section 11(4)”;
- (c) in the heading for Division 3 of Part 1, by striking out “Recall Vote Authorized” and substituting “Recall Petition Successful”;
- (d) in section 8(1) by striking out “A recall vote is authorized” and substituting “A recall petition is successful”;
- (e) by adding the following immediately after section 8:
  - Result of a successful petition
  - 8.1(1) If the Chief Electoral Officer determines in accordance with section 8 that a recall petition is successful
    - (a) the individual named as the member in the recall petition is considered to have been recalled on the day that the Chief Electoral Officer provides notice of that result under section 9(a), and
    - (b) effective on that day
      - (i) the individual is no longer a member of the Legislative Assembly, and
      - (ii) for the purpose of section 32(1) of the Legislative Assembly Act, the seat to which the individual was elected as a member of the Legislative Assembly is considered to be vacant.
  - (2) For greater certainty, an individual who is subject to a successful recall under subsection (1) is not considered to be ineligible to be a candidate in the election conducted for the purpose of the vacancy arising due to that successful recall.
- (f) by striking out sections 15 to 21;
- (g) in section 22(1)
  - (i) in clause (c)(ii) by striking out “or a recall vote”, and
  - (ii) by striking out clause (g) and substituting the following:
    - (g) “recall advertising period”, in respect of a recall petition, means the canvassing period.;
- (h) in section 22(3) by striking out “or recall vote”;
- (i) in section 23(1) by striking out “or recall vote” wherever it occurs;

- (j) by striking out section 39(1) and substituting the following:  
39(1) The chief financial officer of a third party who is registered under section 23 shall, in respect of a recall petition, file a third party recall advertising return with the Chief Electoral Officer within 4 months after the date on which the canvassing period for that recall petition ends.
- (k) by striking out section 40(1) and substituting the following:  
40(1) The chief financial officer of a third party whose recall advertising expenses exceed the prescribed amount shall, in respect of a recall petition, file an audited financial statement with the Chief Electoral Officer within 6 months after the date on which the canvassing period for that recall petition ends.
- (l) in section 41(2), by striking out “after the polling day established for the purposes of a recall vote, or following the earlier withdrawal, conclusion or termination of a recall petition or a recall vote shall” and substituting “after the withdrawal, conclusion or termination of a recall petition shall”;
- (m) in section 43(2) by striking out “or a recall vote” wherever it occurs;
- (n) in section 47(3)
  - (i) by striking out “recall petition and any related recall vote or process” and substituting “recall petition and any related process”, and
  - (ii) by striking out clause (d) and substituting the following:  
(d) between the day that the Chief Electoral Officer issues a recall petition and the day that the Chief Electoral Officer provides notice of the results of the recall petition under section 9(a), a writ of election is issued.
- (o) in section 50(2)(b) by striking out “or of a recall vote under section 19”;
- (p) in section 55(2)
  - (i) in clause (c) by striking out “or 16”, and
  - (ii) in clause (d) by striking out “or 15(1) or (3)”;
- (q) in section 59 by striking out “or 18”;
- (r) in section 69(2)(d) by striking out “recall vote and”.

The question being put, the amendment was defeated. With Mrs. Pitt at the Table, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the amendment: 9

|        |          |                                |
|--------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Barnes | Loewen   | Phillips                       |
| Dang   | Nielsen  | Schmidt                        |
| Irwin  | Pancholi | Sigurdson (Edmonton-Riverview) |



Against the amendment: 33

|                    |                       |                      |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Horner                | Rosin*               |
| Allard*            | Issik                 | Rutherford           |
| Amery              | Jones                 | Savage               |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Long                  | Schow                |
| Copping            | Lovely                | Schulz               |
| Dreeshen           | Madu                  | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Fir                | Nally                 | Smith                |
| Getson*            | Neudorf               | Toews                |
| Gotfried*          | Nicolaides            | van Dijken           |
| Guthrie*           | Nixon (Calgary-Klein) | Yao*                 |
| Hanson             | Panda                 | Yaseen               |

*\*Member voted remotely*

Ms Sigurdson, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Riverview, on behalf of Ms Sweet, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning, moved that the Bill be amended in section 72 by striking out “on Proclamation” and substituting “on July 31, 2021”.

The question being put, the amendment was defeated. With Mr. Milliken at the Table, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the amendment: 8

|        |          |                                |
|--------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Barnes | Nielsen  | Schmidt                        |
| Dang   | Pancholi | Sigurdson (Edmonton-Riverview) |
| Irwin  | Phillips |                                |

Against the amendment: 35

|                    |                       |                      |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Horner                | Rosin*               |
| Allard*            | Issik                 | Rutherford           |
| Amery              | Jones                 | Savage               |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Long                  | Schow                |
| Copping            | Lovely                | Schulz               |
| Dreeshen           | Madu                  | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Fir                | Nally                 | Smith                |
| Getson*            | Neudorf               | Toews                |
| Goodridge          | Nicolaides            | van Dijken           |
| Gotfried*          | Nixon (Calgary-Klein) | Yao*                 |
| Guthrie*           | Panda                 | Yaseen               |
| Hanson             | Rehn                  |                      |

*\*Member voted remotely*

Mr. Dang, Hon. Member for Edmonton-South, moved that the Bill be amended in section 8 by striking out “40%” wherever it occurs and substituting “25%”.

The question being put, the amendment was defeated. With Mr. Milliken at the Table, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the amendment: 9

|        |          |                                |
|--------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Barnes | Loewen   | Phillips                       |
| Dang   | Nielsen  | Schmidt                        |
| Irwin  | Pancholi | Sigurdson (Edmonton-Riverview) |

Against the amendment: 33

|                    |                       |                      |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Issik                 | Rosin*               |
| Allard*            | Jones                 | Rutherford           |
| Amery              | Long                  | Savage               |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Lovely                | Schow                |
| Copping            | Madu                  | Schulz               |
| Dreeshen           | Nally                 | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Fir                | Neudorf               | Smith                |
| Getson*            | Nicolaides            | Toews                |
| Guthrie*           | Nixon (Calgary-Klein) | van Dijken*          |
| Hanson             | Panda                 | Yao*                 |
| Horner             | Rehn                  | Yaseen               |

*\*Member voted remotely*

Mr. Nielsen, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Decore, on behalf of Ms Sweet, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning, moved that the Bill be amended as follows:

(a) by striking out section 11(3) and substituting the following:

(3) The total amount of all contributions by an individual to an authorized participant in respect of a recall petition shall not exceed \$4000, as adjusted in accordance with section 12(1.1).

(b) by adding the following after section 12(1):

(1.1) Section 41.5 of the Election Finances and Contributions Disclosure Act applies, with all necessary modifications, to the amounts referred to in sections 11(3) and 13.

(c) by striking out section 13 and substituting the following:

13 An authorized participant shall not incur recall expenses in respect of a recall petition that exceed in the aggregate \$50,000 as adjusted in accordance with section 12(1.1).

(d) by striking out sections 14(2)(n) and (p);

(e) by striking out section 16(3) and substituting the following:

(3) the total amount of all contributions by an individual to an authorized participant in respect of a recall vote shall not exceed \$4000, as adjusted in accordance with section 17(2).

(f) by renumbering section 17 as section 17(1) and by adding the following immediately after 17(1):

(2) Section 41.5 of the Election Finances and Contributions Disclosure Act applies, with all necessary modifications, to the amounts referred to in sections 16(3) and 18.

(g) by striking out section 18 and substituting the following:

18 An authorized participant shall not incur recall expenses in respect of a recall petition that exceed in the aggregate \$50,000, as adjusted in accordance with section 17(2).

(h) by striking out sections 21(2)(i) and (j).

The question being put, the amendment was defeated. With Mr. Milliken at the Table, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the amendment: 7

|         |          |                                |
|---------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Dang    | Pancholi | Schmidt                        |
| Irwin   | Phillips | Sigurdson (Edmonton-Riverview) |
| Nielsen |          |                                |

Against the amendment: 37

|                    |                                        |                      |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Horner                                 | Orr*                 |
| Allard*            | Issik                                  | Panda                |
| Amery              | Jones                                  | Rutherford           |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Loewen                                 | Schow                |
| Barnes             | Long                                   | Schulz               |
| Copping            | Lovely                                 | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Dreeshen           | Madu                                   | Smith                |
| Fir                | Nally                                  | Toews                |
| Getson*            | Neudorf                                | Toor*                |
| Glasgo*            | Nicolaides                             | van Dijken*          |
| Goodridge*         | Nixon (Calgary-Klein)                  | Yao*                 |
| Gotfried*          | Nixon (Rimbey-Rocky Mtn. House-Sundre) | Yaseen               |
| Hanson             |                                        |                      |

\*Member voted remotely

Mr. Barnes, Hon. Member for Cypress-Medicine Hat, moved that the Bill be amended as follows:

- (a) in section 1(1)(e)(ii) by striking out “60-day period” and substituting “120-day period”;
- (b) in section 7(1) by striking out “60 days” and substituting “120 days”;
- (c) in section 71(4), in the proposed Part 7.1, in section 240.1(1)(c) by striking out “60-day period” and substituting “120-day period”.



Against the amendment: 34

|                    |                       |                      |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Issik                 | Panda                |
| Amery              | Jones                 | Rutherford           |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Loewen                | Savage               |
| Barnes             | Long                  | Schow                |
| Copping            | Lovely                | Schulz               |
| Dreeshen           | Madu                  | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Fir                | Nally                 | Smith                |
| Glasgo*            | Neudorf               | Toews                |
| Goodridge*         | Nicolaides            | Toor*                |
| Gotfried*          | Nixon (Calgary-Klein) | van Dijken           |
| Hanson             | Orr*                  | Yaseen               |
| Horner             |                       |                      |

\*Member voted remotely

Mr. Schmidt, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Gold Bar, on behalf of Ms Sweet, Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning, moved that the Bill be amended as follows:

- (a) by striking out section 24(1) and substituting the following:
  - (1) A registered third party shall not incur recall advertising expenses exceeding \$3000, as adjusted in accordance with section 43(1.1), during the recall advertising period.
- (b) in section 43
  - (i) by adding the following after subsection (1):
    - (1.1) Section 41.5 of the Election Finances and Contributions Disclosure Act applies, with all necessary modifications, to the amounts referred to in section 24(1).
  - (ii) in subsection (3) by striking out clause (e).

The question being put, the amendment was defeated. With Mr. Milliken at the Table, the names being called for were taken as follows:

For the amendment: 7

|         |          |                                |
|---------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Dang    | Pancholi | Schmidt                        |
| Irwin   | Phillips | Sigurdson (Edmonton-Riverview) |
| Nielsen |          |                                |

Against the amendment: 31

|                    |                       |                      |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Aheer              | Issik                 | Panda                |
| Amery              | Jones                 | Rutherford           |
| Armstrong-Homeniuk | Long                  | Savage               |
| Copping            | Lovely                | Schow                |
| Dreeshen           | Madu                  | Schulz               |
| Fir                | Nally                 | Sigurdson (Highwood) |
| Glasgo*            | Neudorf               | Smith                |
| Goodridge*         | Nicolaides            | Toews                |
| Gotfried*          | Nixon (Calgary-Klein) | van Dijken           |
| Hanson             | Orr*                  | Yaseen               |
| Horner             |                       |                      |

*\*Member voted remotely*

And after some time spent therein, the Acting Speaker assumed the Chair.

The following Bills were reported:

Bill 52 Recall Act — Hon. Mr. Madu

Bill 69 Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act, 2021 — Hon. Mr. Nixon

Mr. Hanson, Acting Chair of Committees, tabled copies of all amendments considered by Committee of the Whole on this date for the official records of the Assembly.

Amendment to Bill 52 (A1) (Hon. Member for Central Peace-Notley) —  
Defeated on division

Sessional Paper 759/2020-21

Amendment to Bill 52 (A2) (Hon. Member for Edmonton-Riverview on  
behalf of the Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning) — Defeated on  
division

Sessional Paper 760/2020-21

Amendment to Bill 52 (A3) (Hon. Member for Edmonton-South) —  
Defeated on division

Sessional Paper 761/2020-21

Amendment to Bill 52 (A4) (Hon. Member for Edmonton-Decore on behalf  
of the Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning) — Defeated on division

Sessional Paper 762/2020-21

Amendment to Bill 52 (A5) (Hon. Member for Cypress-Medicine Hat) —  
Defeated on division

Sessional Paper 763/2020-21

Amendment to Bill 52 (A6) (Hon. Member for Lethbridge-West on behalf  
of the Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning) — Defeated on division

Sessional Paper 764/2020-21

Amendment to Bill 52 (A7) (Hon. Member for Cypress-Medicine Hat) —  
Defeated

Sessional Paper 765/2020-21

Amendment to Bill 52 (A8) (Hon. Member for Edmonton-Gold Bar on  
behalf of the Hon. Member for Edmonton-Manning) — Defeated on  
division

Sessional Paper 766/2020-21

### **Third Reading**

The following Bill was read a Third time and passed:

Bill 69 Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act, 2021 — Hon. Mr. Nixon

### **Adjournment**

On motion by Hon. Mr. Madu, Deputy Government House Leader, the Assembly  
adjourned at 12:54 a.m. Thursday, June 10, 2021, until 9:00 a.m.

---

---

Hon. Nathan M. Cooper,  
Speaker